

## ON $(n, 1)$ -ABSORBING $\delta$ -PRIMARY IDEALS

ABDELHAQ EL KHALFI, HINDA HAMRAOUI, AND HICHAM LAARABI

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with nonzero identity. Let  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  be the set of all ideals of  $R$  and let  $\delta : \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(R)$  be a function. Then  $\delta$  is called an expansion function of ideals of  $R$  if whenever  $L, I, J$  are ideals of  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$ , we have  $L \subseteq \delta(L)$  and  $\delta(J) \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Let  $\delta$  be an expansion function of ideals of  $R$ . In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new class of ideals that is closely related to the class of  $\delta$ -primary ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if whenever nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ . Moreover, we give some basic properties of this class of ideals and we study the  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of the localization of rings, the direct product of rings and the trivial ring extensions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . If  $R$  is a ring, an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be proper if  $I \neq R$ , we denotes  $\sqrt{I}$  the *radical* of an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . A commutative ring  $R$  with exactly one maximal ideal is called a *local* ring. Let also  $\text{Spec}(R)$  denotes the set of all prime ideals of  $R$ .

Since prime ideals have an important role of ideal theory in the commutative rings, has been widely studied by various authors. Among the many recent generalizations of the notion of prime ideals in the literature, we find the following, due to Badawi [3]. A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is said to be a 2-absorbing ideal if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . In this case  $\sqrt{I} = P$  is a prime ideal with  $P^2 \subseteq I$  or  $\sqrt{I} = P_1 \cap P_2$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are incomparable prime ideals with  $\sqrt{I}^2 \subseteq I$ , cf. [3, Theorem 2.4]. Recently, Badawi and Yetkin [6] consider a new class of ideals called the class of 1-absorbing primary ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called a 1-absorbing primary ideal of  $R$  if whenever nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \sqrt{I}$ . In [17], A. Yassine et. al introduced the concept of 1-absorbing prime ideals which is a generalization of prime ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is a 1-absorbing prime ideal if whenever we take nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in I$ . In

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A99, 13C13.

*Key words and phrases.* 1-absorbing prime ideal,  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal,  $\delta$ -primary ideal, trivial ring extension.

this case  $\sqrt{I} = P$  is a prime ideal, cf. [17, Theorem 2.3]. If  $R$  is a ring in which exists a 1-absorbing prime ideal that is not prime, then  $R$  is a local ring, that is a ring with one maximal ideal.

Let  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  be the set of all ideals of a ring  $R$ . Zhao [18] introduced the concept of expansion of ideals of  $R$ . We recall from [18] that a function  $\delta : \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(R)$  is called an expansion function of ideals of  $R$  if whenever  $L, I, J$  are ideals of  $R$  with  $J \subseteq I$ , we have  $L \subseteq \delta(L)$  and  $\delta(J) \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Note that there are explanatory examples of expansion functions included in [18, Example 1.2] and [5, Example 1]. In addition, recall from [18] that a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I$ , we have  $a \in I$  or  $b \in \delta(I)$ , where  $\delta$  is an expansion function of ideals of  $R$ . In [10], A. El Khalfi et Al. introduced the concept of 1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals which is a generalization of 1-absorbing primary ideals. A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is a 1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if whenever we take nonunit elements  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $c \in \delta(I)$ . Also, recall from [7] that a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$  if  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in \delta(I)$  or  $b \in \delta(I)$ . In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new concept of ideals that is closely related to the class of  $\delta$ -primary ideals. Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if whenever nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ .

Among many results in this paper, we show that if a ring  $R$  admits an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  that is not an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, then  $R$  is a local ring. Moreover, we prove that if  $R$  is a chained ring with maximal ideal  $M$  and  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  that is not an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary, then  $I = M^k$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Finally, we give an idea about  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of the localization of rings, the direct product of rings and the trivial ring extensions.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We start this section by the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer.*

- (1) *A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if whenever  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ .*
- (2) *A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal if whenever  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in \delta(I)$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring,  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$ .*

- (1) If  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal. Then  $I$  is an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal.
- (2) Every  $\delta$ -primary ideal is a  $(k, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, for each positive integer  $k \geq 2$ .
- (3) Every  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal.
- (4) Let  $\gamma$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $\delta(I) \subseteq \gamma(I)$ . If  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

Next, we give an example of an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal that is not a 1-absorbing prime ideal.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R := K[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]]$  be a ring of formal power series where  $K$  is a field for some positive integer  $n$ . Consider the expansion function  $\delta : \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$  defined by  $\delta(I) = I + M$  where  $M = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ . Consider the ideal  $I = (X_1 X_2 \dots X_n)$  of  $R$ . Thus,  $I$  is not a 1-absorbing prime ideal of  $R$  since  $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \in I$  but neither  $X_1 X_2 \in I$  nor  $X_3 \dots X_n \in I$ . Now, let  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$  be nonunit elements of  $R$  such that  $x_1 \dots x_n x_{n+1} \in I$ . Clearly  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary because  $x_{n+1} \in \delta(I) = M$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $I$  be a  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $\delta(I)$  be a radical ideal, that is,  $\sqrt{\delta(I)} = \delta(I)$ . Then  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $ab \in I$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . Then we may assume that  $a, b$  are nonunits. Thus  $a^n b \in I$  implies that  $a^n \in I$  or  $b \in \delta(I)$ . Then we have  $a \in \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\delta(I)} = \delta(I)$  or  $b \in \delta(I)$ . Hence,  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$ . □

In the next result, we show that if a ring  $R$  admits an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal that is not an  $(n, 1)$ - $\delta$ -primary ideal, then  $R$  is a local ring.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $\delta$  be an ideal expansion. Suppose that a ring  $R$  admits an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal that is not an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal. Then  $R$  is a local ring. Moreover, if  $R$  is not local then a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if and only if  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -primary ideal.

*Proof.* Assume that  $I$  is an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal that is not an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Hence there exists a nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  and  $a_1 \dots a_n \notin I$  and  $a_{n+1} \notin \delta(I)$ . Let  $d$  be a nonunit element of  $R$ . As  $da_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ ,  $I$  is an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $a_{n+1} \notin \delta(I)$ , we conclude that  $da_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ . Let  $c$  be a unit element of  $R$ . Suppose that  $d + c$  is a nonunit element of  $R$ . Since  $(d + c)a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ ,  $I$  is an  $(n + 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $a_{n+1} \notin \delta(I)$ , we get that  $(d + c)a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = da_1 a_2 \dots a_n + ca_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ . Since  $da_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ , we conclude that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ , which gives a contradiction. Hence,  $d + c$  is a unit

element of  $R$ . Now, the result follows from [6, Lemma 1].

Moreover, if  $R$  is not local, we conclude by induction on  $n$  and Remark 2.2(2) that a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if and only if  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -primary ideal.  $\square$

Next, we give a method to construct  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals that are not  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer such that  $n \geq 2$  and  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $M$  and  $\delta$  be an ideal expansion. Let  $x$  be a nonzero prime element of  $R$  such that  $\delta(xM^{n-1}) \subsetneq M$ . If  $x \in \delta(xM^{n-1})$ , then  $xM^{n-1}$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . If  $xM^{n-1} \neq xM^{n-2}$ , then  $xM^{n-1}$  is not an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* First, we will show that  $xM^{n-1}$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Assume that  $a_1a_2\dots a_{n+1} \in xM^{n-1}$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ . If  $a_1a_2\dots a_n \notin xM^{n-1}$ , then for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $a_i \notin xR$ , so  $a_1a_2\dots a_n \notin xR$  because  $x$  is a prime element of  $R$ . Moreover, the fact that  $a_1a_2\dots a_{n+1} \in xR$  and  $a_1a_2\dots a_n \notin xR$  implies that  $a_{n+1} \in xR \subseteq \delta(xM^{n-1})$ . Now, we prove that  $xM^{n-1}$  is not an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . By hypothesis,  $xM^{n-1} \neq xM^{n-2}$ , there exists some nonunit elements  $a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$  such that  $xa_2\dots a_{n-1} \notin xM^{n-1}$ . Let  $m \in M \setminus \delta(xM^{n-1})$ , then  $xa_2\dots a_{n-1}m \in xM^{n-1}$ , therefore  $xM^{n-1}$  is not an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $I$  be an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of a ring  $R$  where  $\delta$  is an ideal expansion and let  $d \in R \setminus I$  be a nonunit element of  $R$ . Then  $(I : d) = \{x \in R \mid dx \in I\}$  is an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . In particular, for every proper ideal  $J$  of  $R$  with  $J \not\subseteq I$ ,  $(I : J)$  is an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a_1a_2\dots a_n \in (I : d)$  for some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are nonunit elements of  $R$ . Suppose that  $a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1} \notin (I : d)$ . Since  $da_1a_2\dots a_n \in I$  and  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $a_n \in \delta(I)$ . So,  $a_n \in \delta((I : d))$  and this completes the proof. The rest is similar.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring,  $\delta$  an ideal expansion and  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , then either  $I$  is an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$  or  $R$  is local, say with maximal ideal  $M$ , such that  $M^n \subseteq I$ .*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is not local, then Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.2(3) implies that  $I$  is  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$ . Now, assume that  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $I$  is not an  $(n - 1, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideal of  $R$ , then there exist some nonunit elements of  $R$ ,



$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in M$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \notin \delta(I)$  and  $a_n \notin \delta(I)$ . To prove that  $M^n \subseteq I$ , it suffices to show that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in I$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in M$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in M$ . Then  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ . Since  $a_n \notin \delta(I)$  and  $I$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, we conclude that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \in I$ . Again, since  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \notin \delta(I)$  and  $I$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, we have that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in I$ .  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is a chained ring if the set of all ideals of  $R$  is linearly ordered by inclusion. We next determine the  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of a chained ring.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a chained ring with maximal ideal  $M$ ,  $\delta$  an ideal expansion and  $I$  be an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary for some positive integer  $n \geq 2$ . If  $I$  is not an  $(n-1,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -semiprimary. Then  $I = M^k$  for some positive integer  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8  $R$  is local with maximal  $M$  such that  $M^n \subseteq I$ . Let  $k = \min\{i/M^i \subseteq I\}$ , we show that  $I = M^k$ . Suppose that  $M^k \subsetneq I$ . Thus there is  $a \in M^{k-1} \setminus I$  and  $b \in I \setminus M^k$ . Since  $R$  is a chained ring, we conclude that  $b \in aR$ . Hence  $b = ar$  for some  $r \in M$ . Thus  $b \in M^k$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I = M^k$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $\{J_i \mid i \in D\}$  be a directed set of  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of  $R$ , where  $\delta$  is an ideal expansion. Then the ideal  $J = \cup_{i \in D} J_i$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in J$  for some nonunits  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in J_i$  for some  $i \in D$ . Since  $J_i$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ ,  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in J_i$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(J_i) \subseteq \delta(J)$ . Hence,  $J$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $I$  be an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $\sqrt{\delta(I)} \subseteq \delta(\sqrt{I})$ , where  $\delta$  is an ideal expansion. Then,  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $xy \in \sqrt{I}$  such that  $x \notin \sqrt{I}$ . Thus, there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that  $x^p y^p \in I$ . So,  $x^{n-1} x^p y^p \in I$ . Since  $I$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $x^{n-1+p} \notin I$ , we conclude that  $y^p \in \delta(I)$ . That implies  $y \in \sqrt{\delta(I)} \subseteq \delta(\sqrt{I})$  and so  $\sqrt{I}$  is a  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

We say that a proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is an  $(n,1)$ -absorbing prime ideal if whenever  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in I$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $I$  be a proper ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta$  be an ideal expansion such that  $\delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$ . Then the following statements are satisfied.*

(1) If  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are nonunit elements with  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \notin I$ , then  $\delta(I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \delta(I)$ .

(2)  $\delta(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $\delta(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing prime ideal of  $R$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $I$  be an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \notin I$ . Note that  $I \subseteq (I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$  and so  $\delta(I) \subseteq \delta(I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ . Let  $c \in (I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ . Then  $c \in \delta(I)$  since  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n c \in I$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \notin I$ . Thus  $(I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) \subseteq \delta(I)$ . We get  $\delta(I : a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) \subseteq \delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$ . Hence we conclude the equality.

(2) Let  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ . Hence  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in \delta(I)$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(\delta(I)) = \delta(I)$ . Thus  $\delta(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing prime ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring,  $I$  a proper ideal of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be an ideal expansion. Then  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal if and only if whenever  $I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$  for some proper ideals  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1}$  of  $R$ , then  $I_1 \dots I_n \subseteq I$  or  $I_{n+1} \subseteq \delta(I)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the “if” assertion. Suppose that  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal and let  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n+1}$  be proper ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$  and  $I_{n+1} \not\subseteq \delta(I)$ . Thus  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for every  $a_i \in I_i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $a_{n+1} \in I_{n+1} \setminus \delta(I)$ . Since  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, we then have  $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n \subseteq I$ , as desired.  $\square$

Recall from [18] that an ideal expansion  $\delta$  is said to be intersection preserving if  $\delta(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_s) = \delta(I_1) \cap \delta(I_2) \cap \dots \cap \delta(I_s)$  for any ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_s$  of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $(n, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}$  and  $\delta$  be an intersection preserving ideal expansion. If  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_s$  are  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of  $R$ , and  $\delta(I_i) = P$  for some ideal  $P$  of  $R$  and all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ , then  $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_s$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in J = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_s$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \notin J$ . Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \notin I_i$ . Since  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I_i$  and  $I_i$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, we conclude that  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I_i) = \delta(J)$ . Therefore,  $J$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring and  $\delta$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) Every proper principal ideal is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2) Every proper ideal is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (1) holds and let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}$  be nonunit elements of  $R$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ . Hence  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} R$  which implies that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} R \subseteq I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in$

$\delta(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} R) \subseteq \delta(I)$ . Therefore  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . The converse is clear.  $\square$

An expansion function  $\delta$  of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is said to satisfy *condition (\*)* if  $\delta(I) \neq R$  for each proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Note that the identity function and the radical operation are examples of expansion functions satisfying condition (\*).

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring and  $\delta$  an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  satisfying condition (\*) and  $\delta(\text{Jac}(R)) = \text{Jac}(R)$ . Suppose that  $\delta(xI) = x\delta(I)$  for every proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and every  $x \in R$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *Every proper principal ideal is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (ii) *Every proper ideal is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .*
- (iii)  *$R$  is local with  $\text{Jac}(R)^n = (0)$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) Follows from Proposition 2.15.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) We will show that  $R$  is a local ring. Choose maximal ideals  $M_1, M_2$  of  $R$ . Now, put  $I = M_1 \cap M_2$ . Since  $M_1^n M_2 \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, we have either  $M_1^n \subseteq I \subseteq M_2$  or  $M_2 \subseteq \delta(I) \subseteq \delta(M_1)$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose that  $M_1^n \subseteq M_2$ . Since  $M_2$  is prime, clearly we have  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$  which implies that  $M_1 = M_2$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose that  $M_2 \subseteq \delta(M_1)$ . Since  $\delta$  satisfies condition (\*),  $\delta(M_1)$  is proper. As  $M_1 \subseteq \delta(M_1)$  and  $M_1$  is a maximal ideal, we have  $M_1 = \delta(M_1)$ . Then we get  $M_2 \subseteq M_1$ , which implies that  $M_1 = M_2$ . Therefore,  $R$  is a local ring.

Now, we will prove that  $\text{Jac}(R)^n = (0)$ . We may assume that  $\text{Jac}(R) \neq (0)$ . So, let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \text{Jac}(R)$  and we choose  $z \in \text{Jac}(R) \setminus (0)$ . Since  $x_1 \dots x_n z \in (x_1 \dots x_n z)$  and  $(x_1 \dots x_n z)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary, we get  $x_1 \dots x_n \in (x_1 \dots x_n z)$  or  $z \in \delta((x_1 \dots x_n z)) = z\delta((x_1 \dots x_n))$ . First, assume that  $x_1 \dots x_n \in (x_1 \dots x_n z)$ . Then there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $x_1 \dots x_n = r x_1 \dots x_n z$ , which implies that  $x_1 \dots x_n (1 - rz) = 0$ . Since  $1 - rz$  is unit, we have  $x_1 \dots x_n = 0$  which completes the proof. Now, assume that  $x_1 \dots x_n \notin (x_1 \dots x_n z)$ , that is,  $z \in \delta((x_1 \dots x_n z)) = z\delta((x_1 \dots x_n))$ . Then there exists  $a \in \delta((x_1 \dots x_n)) \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$  such that  $z = za$ . This implies that  $z(1 - a) = 0$  so that  $z = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{Jac}(R)^n = (0)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $R$  is a local ring with  $\text{Jac}(R)^n = (0)$ . Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for some nonunits  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ . Then  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \text{Jac}(R)$  since  $R$  is local. As  $\text{Jac}(R)^n = (0)$ , we have  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = 0 \in I$ . Therefore,  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

It can be easily seen that, in Theorem 2.16, (iii) always implies (i) without any assumption on  $\delta$ . But we give some examples showing that the converse is not true if we drop the aforementioned assumptions on  $\delta$ .

**Example 2.17.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime number,  $n$  is a nonzero positive integer and  $\delta(I) = R$  for every proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Note that  $\delta$  does not satisfy condition  $(*)$  and note that every ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary. Thus  $\text{Jac}(R)^n \neq (0)$ , while  $R$  is a local ring.

**Example 2.18.** Let  $k$  be a field and consider the formal power series ring  $R = k[[X]]$ . Then  $R$  is a local ring with unique maximal ideal  $m = (X)$ . Define expansion function  $\delta$  as  $\delta(I) = \sqrt{I}$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Then it is easy to see that every ideal of  $R$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal, for every nonzero positive integer  $n$ . Also, it is clear that  $\delta$  satisfies condition  $(*)$  and  $\delta(\text{Jac}(R)) = \text{Jac}(R)$  but not satisfy the condition  $\delta(xI) = x\delta(I)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Jac}(R)^n \neq (0)$ . Thus Theorem 2.16 fails without assumption  $\delta(xI) = x\delta(I)$ .

Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and  $\delta, \gamma$  expansion functions of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  respectively. Recall from [5] that  $f$  is called a  $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism if  $\delta(f^{-1}(I)) = f^{-1}(\gamma(I))$  for each ideal  $I$  of  $S$ . Also note that if  $f$  is a  $\delta\gamma$ -epimorphism and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $\ker(f)$ , then  $\gamma(f(I)) = f(\delta(I))$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring  $\delta\gamma$ -homomorphism where  $\delta, \gamma$  are expansion functions of  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  respectively. Suppose that  $f(a)$  is nonunit in  $S$  for every nonunit element  $a$  in  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $J$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $S$ , then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2) If  $f$  is an epimorphism and  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $R$  containing  $\ker(f)$ , then  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $f(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(J)$ , for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ . Then  $f(a_1)f(a_2)\dots f(a_{n+1}) \in J$ . Thus  $f(a_1)f(a_2)\dots f(a_n) \in J$  or  $f(a_{n+1}) \in \gamma(J)$ , which implies that  $a_1 \dots a_n \in f^{-1}(J)$  or  $a_{n+1} \in f^{-1}(\gamma(J)) = \delta(f^{-1}(J))$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .

(2) Suppose that  $f(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\gamma$ -primary ideal of  $S$ . Since  $I = f^{-1}(f(I))$ , we conclude that  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  by (1). Conversely, let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$  be nonunit elements of  $S$  with  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n+1} \in f(I)$ . Then there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  such that  $x_i = f(a_i)$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$  and  $f(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1}) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n+1} \in f(I)$ . Since  $\ker(f) \subseteq I$ , we then have  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ . Since  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$ , we conclude that  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$  or  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$  which gives that  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in f(I)$  or  $x_{n+1} \in f(\delta(I)) = \gamma(f(I))$ . Thus  $f(I)$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

Let  $\delta$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then the function  $\bar{\delta} : \frac{R}{I} \rightarrow \frac{R}{I}$  defined by  $\bar{\delta}(\frac{J}{I}) = \frac{\delta(J)}{I}$  for all ideals  $I \subseteq J$ , becomes an expansion function of  $\frac{R}{I}$ . Then, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.20.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $R$  be a ring,  $\delta$  an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $I \subseteq J$  be proper ideals of  $R$ . Assume that  $a + I$  is a nonunit element of  $\frac{R}{I}$  for every nonunit element  $a \in R$ . Then  $J$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $\frac{J}{I}$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\bar{\delta}$ -primary ideal of  $\frac{R}{I}$ .*

**Proposition 2.21.** *Let  $n$  be a nonzero positive integer and  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta_S$  an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(S^{-1}R)$  such that  $\delta_S(S^{-1}I) = S^{-1}(\delta(I))$  for each ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . If  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $S^{-1}I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_S$ -primary ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $R$  such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $\frac{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1}}{s_1 s_2 \dots s_{n+1}} \in S^{-1}I$  for some nonunit elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$  such that  $\frac{a_1}{s_1} \dots \frac{a_n}{s_n} \notin S^{-1}I$ . Then  $xa_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in I$  for some  $x \in S$ . Since  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary and  $xa_1 \dots a_n \notin I$ , we conclude that  $a_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ . Thus  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} \in S^{-1}(\delta(I)) = \delta_S(S^{-1}I)$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be two rings, let  $\delta_i$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . For a proper ideal  $I_1 \times I_2$ , the function  $\delta_\times$  defined by  $\delta_\times(I_1 \times I_2) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2)$  is an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})$ . The following result characterizes the 1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of the direct product of rings.

**Theorem 2.22.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be rings,  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  and let  $\delta_i$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_\times$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I$  is a  $\delta_\times$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) Either  $I = I_1 \times R_2$ , where  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$  or  $I = R_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_2$  is a  $\delta_2$ -primary ideal of  $R_2$  or  $I = I_1 \times I_2$ , where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are proper ideals of  $R_1, R_2$ , respectively with  $\delta_1(I_1) = R_1$  and  $\delta_2(I_2) = R_2$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). This follows from Theorem 2.5.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) Let  $I$  be a  $\delta_\times$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Hence  $I$  has the form  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are ideals of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $I = I_1 \times R_2$  for some proper ideal  $I_1$  of  $R_1$ . We show that  $I_1$  is a  $\delta_1$ -primary ideal of  $R_1$ . Deny. Then there are  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $ab \in I_1, a \notin I_1$  and  $b \notin \delta_1(I_1)$ . Hence  $(a, 1)(b, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$ . Which implies that  $(a, 1) \in I_1 \times R_2$  or  $(b, 1) \in \delta_\times(I_1 \times R_2)$  and so  $a \in I_1$  or  $b \in \delta_1(I_1)$ , which gives a contradiction. Now suppose that both  $I_1$  and

$I_2$  are proper. As  $(1,0)(0,1) \in I_1 \times I_2$  and  $(1,0), (0,1) \notin I_1 \times I_2$ , we have  $(1,0), (0,1) \in \delta_{\times}(I_1 \times I_2) = \delta_1(I_1) \times \delta_2(I_2)$ . Therefore  $\delta_1(I_1) = R_1$  and  $\delta_2(I_2) = R_2$ . The converse is clear.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.22, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.23.** *Let  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$  be commutative rings with nonzero identity and  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n$  where  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\delta_i$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(R_i)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$  and either for some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $I_k$  is a  $\delta_k$ -primary ideal of  $R_k$  and  $I_j = R_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$  or  $I_{\alpha_i}$ 's are proper ideals of  $R_{\alpha_i}$  for  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $|\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}| \geq 2$  with  $\delta_{\alpha_i}(I_{\alpha_i}) = R_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $I_j = R_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ .

*Proof.* It can be obtained by using mathematical induction on  $n$ .  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $E$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $A \times E$ , the *trivial (ring) extension of  $A$  by  $E$* , is the ring whose additive structure is that of the external direct sum  $A \oplus E$  and whose multiplication is defined by  $(a, e)(b, f) := (ab, af + be)$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and all  $e, f \in E$ . (This construction is also known by other terminology and other notation, such as the *idealization*  $A(+E)$ .) The basic properties of trivial ring extensions are summarized in the books [13], [12]. Trivial ring extensions have been studied or generalized extensively, often because of their usefulness in constructing new classes of examples of rings satisfying various properties (cf. [1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 14]). In addition, for an ideal  $I$  of  $A$  and a submodule  $F$  of  $E$ ,  $I \times F$  is an ideal of  $A \times E$  if and only if  $IE \subseteq F$ . Moreover, for an expansion function  $\delta$  of  $A$ , it is clear that  $\delta_{\times}$  defined as  $\delta_{\times}(I \times F) = \delta(I) \times E$  is an expansion function of  $A \times E$ . Also as usual, if  $c \in A$  then  $(F : c) = \{e \in E \mid ce \in F\}$ .

**Theorem 2.24.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $E$  an  $A$ -module and  $\delta$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$  and  $F$  a submodule of  $E$  such that  $IE \subseteq F$ . Then the following statement hold:*

- (1) *If  $I \times F$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $A \times E$ , then  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $A$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $(F : c) = F$  for every  $c \in A \setminus I$ . Then  $I \times F$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $A \times E$  if and only if  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $I \times F$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $A \times E$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$  be nonunit elements of  $A$  such that  $x_1 \dots x_n x_{n+1} \in I$ . Thus  $(x_1, 0) \dots (x_n, 0)(x_{n+1}, 0) = (x_1 \dots x_n x_{n+1}, 0) \in I \times F$  which implies that  $(x_1, 0) \dots (x_n, 0) \in I \times F$  or  $(x_{n+1}, 0) \in \delta_{\times}(I \times F) = \delta(I) \times E$ . Therefore  $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$  or  $x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$  and so (1) holds.

(2) By (1), it suffices to prove the "if" assertion. Let  $(x_1, e_1), \dots, (x_n, e_n), (x_{n+1}, e_{n+1})$

be nonunit elements of  $A \times E$  such that  $(x_1, e_1) \dots (x_n, e_n)(x_{n+1}, e_{n+1}) \in I \times F$ . Clearly,  $(x_1, e_1) \dots (x_n, e_n)(x_{n+1}, e_{n+1}) = (x_1 \dots x_n, z)(x_{n+1}, e_{n+1}) = (x_1 \dots x_n x_{n+1}, x_1 \dots x_n e_{n+1} + x_{n+1} z)$  for some  $z \in E$  and so  $x_1 \dots x_n x_{n+1} \in I$ . Thus,  $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$  or  $x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$  since  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $A$ . If  $x_{n+1} \in \delta(I)$ , then  $(x_{n+1}, e_{n+1}) \in \delta(I) \times E = \delta_{\times}(I \times F)$ . Hence, we may assume that  $x_{n+1} \notin \delta(I)$ . Then  $x_1 \dots x_n \in I$ . As  $x_1 \dots x_n e_{n+1} + x_{n+1} z \in F$  and  $x_1 \dots x_n e_{n+1} \in F$ , we get that  $x_{n+1} z \in F$ . This implies that  $z \in (F : x_{n+1}) = F$  and so  $(x_1, e_1) \dots (x_n, e_n) \in I \times F$ . Therefore  $I \times F$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $A \times E$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.25.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $E$  an  $A$ -module and  $\delta$  be an expansion function of  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ . Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $A$ . Then  $I \times E$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta_{\times}$ -primary ideal of  $A \times E$  if and only if  $I$  is an  $(n, 1)$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideal of  $A$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Winders, *Idealization of a module*, J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), no. 1, 3–56.
- [2] A. Anebri, A. El Khalfi, and N. Mahdou, *On  $(1, r)$ -ideals of commutative rings*, J. Algebra Appl. (2022).
- [3] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 75(3)(2007), 417–429.
- [4] A. Badawi, A. El khalfi and N. Mahdou, *On  $(m, n)$ -absorbing prime ideals and  $(m, n)$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Sao Paulo J. Math. Sci.(2023).
- [5] A. Badawi, B. Fahid, *On weakly 2-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals of commutative rings*, Georgian. Math. J, 27(4) 2017, 503-516.
- [6] A. Badawi and Y. Celikel, *On 1-absorbing primary ideals of a commutative rings*, J. Algebra Appl. (2020), 2050111.
- [7] A. Badawi, D. Sonmez, G. Yesilot, *On weakly  $\delta$ -semiprimary ideals of commutative rings*. Algebra Colloq. 25 (2018), 387-398.
- [8] D.E Dobbbs, A. El Khalfi and N. Mahdou, *Trivial extensions satisfying certain valuation-like properties*, Comm. Algebra 47(5) (2019), 2060–2077.
- [9] T. Dumitrescu, N. Mahdou and Y. Zahir, *Radical factorization for trivial extension and amalgamated duplication rings*, J. Algebra Appl., 20(02) (2021) 2150025.
- [10] A. El Khalfi, N. Mahdou, Ü. Tekir and S. Koç, *On 1-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals*, Analele științifice ale Universității "Ovidius" Constanța. Seria Matematică, 29 (3) (2021), 135-150.
- [11] B. Fahid, Z. Dongsheng, *2-absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals in commutative rings*, Kyung-pook Mathematical Journal 57(2017), no. 2, 193-198.
- [12] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1371, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [13] J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [14] S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, *Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions*, Comm. Algebra 32(10) (2004), 3937–3953.
- [15] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, rev. ed., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [16] G. Ulucak, Ü. Tekir and S. Koc, *On  $n$ -absorbing  $\delta$ -primary ideals*. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 42(4) (2018), 1833-1844.
- [17] A. Yassine, M. J. Nikmehr, R. Nikandish, *On 1-absorbing prime ideals of commutative rings*, J. Algebra Appl., 20(10) (2021), 2150175.



- [18] D. Zhao,  *$\delta$ -primary ideals of commutative rings*, Kyungpook Math. J. 41 (1) (2001), 17-22.

ABDELHAQ EL KHALFI FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS LABORATORY.,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCES AIN CHOCK, HASSAN II UNIVERSITY OF CASABLANCA, MOROCCO.

*E – mail : abdelhaqelkhalfi@gmail.com*

HINDA HAMRAOUI FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS LABORATORY., FAC-  
ULTY OF SCIENCES AIN CHOCK, HASSAN II UNIVERSITY OF CASABLANCA, MOROCCO.

*E – mail address : hindahamraoui@yahoo.fr*

HICHAM LAARABI FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS LABORATORY., FAC-  
ULTY OF SCIENCES AIN CHOCK, HASSAN II UNIVERSITY OF CASABLANCA, MOROCCO.

*E – mail address : hichamlaarabi036@gmail.com*